

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION**

**SHANNON PEREZ, HAROLD  
DUTTON, JR. AND GREGORY TAMEZ,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**TEXAS STATE CONFERENCE OF  
NAACP BRANCHES, HOWARD  
JEFFERSON, JUANITA WALLACE, and  
REV. BILL LAWSON**

**Plaintiff-Intervenors,**

**and**

**TEXAS LEGISLATIVE BLACK CAUCUS,  
TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES**

**Plaintiff-Intervenor, )**

**v. )**

**CIVIL NO. SA-11-CV-360-  
OLG-JES-XR**

**STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL., )**

**Defendants. )**

**MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGISLATIVE  
CAUCUS, )**

**v. )**

**CIVIL NO. SA-11-CV-361-  
OLG-JES-XR**

**STATE OF TEXAS, ET AL. )**

**TEXAS LATINO REDISTRICTING TASK  
FORCE )**

**v. )**

**CIVIL No. SA-11-CV-490-  
OLG-JES-XR**

**RICK PERRY )**

**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF PLAINTIFF-INTERVENOR**  
**TEXAS LEGISLATIVE BLACK CAUCUS**

1. This is an action to enforce Plaintiff-Intervenor's rights under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and under Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973 et seq. Plaintiff-Intervenor, the Texas Legislative Black Caucus (hereinafter TLBC) is comprised of elected officials from different areas of the State who collectively represent the interests of African-Americans and protected groups who are in coalition with them. Plaintiff-Intervenor brings this action requesting declaratory and injunctive relief against the State of Texas to prevent the implementation of redistricting plans for the Texas Congressional districts and Texas State House districts. Both of these redistricting plans dilute the voting strength of African-American voters because in the totality of circumstances, African-American voters do not have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice to the United States Congress and the Texas House of Representatives. Both of these redistricting plans dilute the voting strength of African-American voters because in the totality of circumstances, African-American voters do not have an equal opportunity to elect candidates of their choice to Congress and Congress and the Texas House of Representatives. If allowed to be implemented, these plans will undervalue the vote of Texas' African-American citizens and deny them fair representation in these governing bodies. If implemented, these plans will dilute the voting strength of Texas' African American citizens and deny them fair representation in these governing bodies. Plaintiff-Intervenor seeks the implementation of Congressional and State House redistricting plans that will not dilute the voting strength of African-American voters in Texas. Plaintiff-Intervenor also seeks costs and attorneys' fees.

## **I. JURISDICTION**

2. Plaintiff-Intervenor's complaint arises under the United States Constitution and federal statutes. This Court has jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § § 1331, 1343(a)(3) and (4), and 1988.

3. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

4. Plaintiff-Intervenor seeks declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and 2202.

5. Plaintiff-Intervenor requests the convening of a three-judge court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2284.

## **II. PARTIES**

6. Plaintiff, the **TEXAS LEGISLATIVE BLACK CAUCUS**, has 17 members of both political parties. It was originally formed so that African-Americans could have a more effective voice in the legislative process and Texas politics. Throughout its extensive history, TLBC and many of its members have participated in successful redistricting litigation. TLBC represents segments of the African-American community from the entire State of Texas which are not currently represented and should be considered by the Court for a complete and necessary understanding of the effects of the redistricting plan passed by the Texas Legislature.

7. Defendant is the State of Texas. The State of Texas is a political subdivision covered under the provisions of the Voting Rights Act and responsible for the actions of its officials with regard to state-wide redistricting.

8. Defendant Rick Perry is the duly elected and acting Governor of the State of Texas. Under Article IV, Section 1, of the Texas Constitution, he is the chief executive officer of the Defendant State of Texas. He is sued in his official capacity.

9. Defendant David Dewhurst is duly elected and acting Lieutenant Governor of Texas. Under Article IV, Section 16, of the Texas Constitution he is the President of the Texas Senate. He is sued in his official capacity.

10. Defendant Joe Strauss is the duly elected and acting Speaker of the Texas House of Representatives and is the presiding officer over the Texas House of Representatives. He is sued in his official capacity.

11. Defendant Hope Andrade is the duly appointed and acting Secretary State of the State of Texas. She is being sued in her official capacity.

### **III. FACTS**

12. Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, 42 U.S.C. 1973, applies nationwide and prohibits voting practices and procedures that result in the denial or abridgement of the right of any citizen to vote on account of race, color, or membership in a language minority group. Section 2 is a permanent provision of the federal Voting Rights Act.

13. The total Texas population is 12% African-American according to the 2010 federal census. African-Americans comprise at least this percentage of the state's Citizen Voting Age population. Texas' African-American population is roughly equivalent to the total population of Mississippi, which has four representatives in the United States Congress.

14. After the last decennial census, the Texas Congressional apportionment will increase from 32 representatives to 36 representatives, due to an overall population increase of 20.6% (more than twice the average rate of growth nationally). Approximately 89% of the population growth in Texas this past decade—growth that resulted in our State's right to four additional Congressional representatives—is a result of minority growth. Both Latino growth and African-American growth eclipsed Anglo growth in both percentage and raw numbers—Latino growth

represented 65% of the state's population growth, the African-American population grew 22%, and the Anglo population grew just 4.2%. Texas is now a majority-minority state—only 45% of the state's total population is Anglo.

15. Proportionally, African-Americans are underrepresented in the U.S. House of Representatives. Roughly proportional representation would require more than the three African-American opportunity districts that currently exist.

16. The Texas-NAACP submitted a plan for a new African-American opportunity Congressional seat in the Dallas-Fort Worth area to the Legislature, but the request to create this district was denied. Each of these Congresspersons receives many requests for assistance outside of their district because of the few African-American Congresspersons that are within the Texas delegation even though the Texas' African-American population approximates that of Mississippi. They clearly have an interest in Texas gaining another person who will work to advance the political interests of African-Americans statewide, but also in having plans that would permit them to be more effective by not marginalizing African-American voters in their districts or unnecessarily splitting communities of interest.

17. Legislative efforts to draw a Texas Congressional map also led to the drawing of Congressional Districts 9, 18 and 30 in such a way as to be unfair and undermine the ability of African-Americans to effectively participate in the political process in those areas and it has similar impact on Latinos in those areas who have acted in coalition with African-Americans to further the interests of both communities.

18. The Congressional plans unnecessarily split communities of interest, deleted important areas from the existing Congressional districts, and are designed to undermine or frustrate

effective and long term voter coalitions in the area. The plan is the result in whole or in part of intentional discrimination.

19. The current Congressional redistricting plan does not include a Latino-majority Congressional district in the Fort Worth area.

20. The Latino population in Tarrant County is sufficiently geographically compact to comprise the majority of citizen voting age persons in a congressional district.

21. A Congressional district can be created in the Fort Worth area that will afford Latino voters the opportunity to elect their candidate of choice.

22. Elections in Texas continue to be racially polarized.

23. African-Americans in Texas generally vote as a group and are politically cohesive.

24. Latinos in Texas vote as a group and are politically cohesive.

25. Latinos and African-Americans in Texas vote as a group and are politically cohesive. Latinos and African-Americans in CD 30, CD 9 and CD 18 vote as a group and are politically cohesive in ensuring the continued character of the districts. Latinos and African-Americans in Tarrant and Counties vote as a group and are politically cohesive.

26. Anglos in Texas generally vote as a group, are politically cohesive and vote sufficiently as a block to defeat the preferred candidate of Latino and African-American voters absent fair and equitable majority-minority single member districts. This has been documented by the Federal and State Courts, the US Commission on Civil Rights and by the US Congress.

### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### **Count I**

27. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-29 are alleged as if fully set forth herein.

28. The current Congressional and State House redistricting plans violate Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, as amended, 42 U.S. § 1973. These plans result in a denial or abridgement of the right to vote of Plaintiff-Intervenor's members on account of their race, color, or ethnicity, by having the effect of canceling out or minimizing their individual voting strength as minorities in Texas. The state's proposed redistricting plans, passed by the Texas Legislature, do not afford Plaintiff-Intervenor's members an equal opportunity to participate in the political process and to elect representatives of their choice, and denies Plaintiff-Intervenor's members the right to vote in elections without discrimination of race, color, or previous condition of servitude in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 1973.

### **Count II**

29. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-28 are alleged as if fully set forth herein.

30. The redistricting plans adopted by the Texas Legislature were developed in such a way and with the intent to disadvantage African-American and other minority voters. This intentional discrimination is in violation of the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

### **Count III**

31. The allegations contained in paragraphs 1-30 are alleged as if fully set forth herein.

32. The current plans in place for the Congressional and Texas House districts exceed permissible population variances between the least populated and the most populated districts. The enforcement of such plans by the Defendants violate the rights of all voters as guaranteed by the one person, one vote principle embodied within the 14<sup>th</sup> Amendment of the U.S. Constitution, as protected by 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

33. There are no enforceable alternative plans currently in place.

34. The plans adopted by the Texas Legislature for the United States Congress and Texas House have not yet received the necessary preclearance required by Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S. C. § 1973c. These plans therefore cannot be implemented unless and until it receives Section 5 preclearance.

35. The plans adopted by the Texas Legislature for the United States Congress and Texas House violate Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 1973, and thus cannot be implemented.

### **BASIS FOR EQUITABLE RELIEF**

36. Plaintiff-Intervenor has no plain, adequate or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged herein and this suit for declaratory judgment and injunctive relief is their only means of securing adequate redress from all of the Defendants' unlawful practices.

37. Plaintiff-Intervenor will continue to suffer irreparable injury from all of the Defendants' intentional acts, policies, and practices set forth herein unless enjoined by this Court.

### **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

38. In accordance with 42 U.S.C. Section 1973-1(e) and 1988, Plaintiff-Intervenor is entitled to recover reasonable attorney's fees, expenses and costs.

### **PRAYER**

Plaintiff-Intervenor respectfully prays that this Court enter Judgment granting:



- A. A declaratory judgment that State Defendants' actions violate the rights of Plaintiff-Intervenor as protected by Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973 et seq., and the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, 42 U.S.C. § 1983;
- B. Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief requiring State Defendants, their successors in office, agents, employees, attorneys, and those persons acting in concert with them and/or at their discretion – to develop redistricting plans that do not dilute African American and minority voting strength for the Texas House of Representatives and the United States House of Representatives, and enjoining and forbidding the use of the current congressional and state legislative redistricting plans.
- C. If need be, adopt an interim electoral plan for the 2012 elections for United States Congress and Texas House of Representatives.
- D. An order of this Court retaining jurisdiction over this matter until all Defendants have complied with all orders and mandates of this Court;
- E. An order requiring Defendants to pay all costs including reasonable attorneys' fees, and
- F. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

#### **VIII. PRAYER**

- 39. Plaintiff-Intervenor respectfully prays that this Court enter Judgment granting:
  - G. A declaratory judgment that state Defendants' actions violate the rights of Plaintiff as protected by Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act, 42 U.S.C. § 1973 et seq.;
  - H. Preliminary and permanent injunctive relief requiring State Defendants, their successors in office, agents, employees, attorneys, and those persons acting in concert with them and/or at their discretion – to develop redistricting plans that do not dilute African-American and minority voting strength for the Texas House of Representatives

and the United States House of Representatives, and enjoining and forbidding the use of the current congressional and state house redistricting plans.

I. An order of this Court retaining jurisdiction over this matter until all Defendants have complied with all orders and mandates of this Court;

J. An order requiring Defendants to pay all costs including reasonable attorneys' fees, and

K. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

**Law Offices of Gary L. Bledsoe & Associates**

By: /s/ Gary L. Bledsoe  
Gary L. Bledsoe  
State Bar No.: 02476500  
316 West 12th Street, Suite 307  
Austin, Texas 78701  
Telephone: (512) 322-9992  
Facsimile: (512) 322-0840  
*Interim Counsel for the Plaintiff-Intervenor*

/s/ John K. Tanner  
John K. Tanner  
DC Bar No.: 318873  
3743 Military Road NW  
Washington, DC 20015  
Telephone: (202) 503-7696  
[john.k.tanner@gmail.com](mailto:john.k.tanner@gmail.com)  
*Lead Attorney for Plaintiff-Intervenor*  
(pro hac vice application submitted)

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 19<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2011 a true and correct copy of Plaintiff-Intervenor's First Amended Complaint was delivered to Plaintiffs and Defendants via the United States District Court, Western Division of Texas, San Antonio Division, ECF system.

/s/ Gary L. Bledsoe  
Gary L. Bledsoe